

Course:	FIRS-1401 501CL - Firefighter Certification I	Department:	FIRT
Responsible Faculty:	Timothy Johnson; Stanley Kozlowski; Danny McLerran	Responses / Expected:	14 / 21 (66.67%)

Course Questions	FIRS-1401 - 501CL									
	Responses					Course				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1	The material is presented in an organized manner.									
Q2	The course objectives are clear.									
Q3	The required text is comprehensible.									
Q4	The required text was an important component of the course.									
Q5	Course assignments and exams are evaluated and graded fairly.									
Q6	Exams reflect the course content and classroom experience.									
Q7	Grading procedures are easy to understand.									
Q8	I received feedback on my performance in a reasonable time frame.									

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Overall Evaluation	FIRS-1401 - 501CL									
	Responses					Course				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q9	I have learned a great deal in this course.									
Q10	Overall, I would recommend this course to other students.									
Q11	I put a lot of effort into this course.									

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Student Information	FIRS-1401 - 501CL									
	Responses					Course				
	A	B	C	D	F	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q12	What grade do you expect to receive in this course?									

Responses: [A] A=5 [B] B=4 [C] C=3 [D] D=2 [F] F=1

Additional Comments	FIRS-1401 - 501CL									
	Responses					Course				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q13	I found this course evaluations system easy to use.									
Q14	Based on my experience with this course evaluation system, I will be more likely to complete all my evaluations in the future.									

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q15. Instructor is prepared and on time.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	1	7	6	14	4	4	.61	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	1	0	3	10	14	5	5	.82	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	4	9	13	5	5	.46	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q16. Instructor uses a variety of teaching techniques.										
Timothy Johnson	0	1	0	5	8	14	5	5	.82	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	3	9	12	5	5	.43	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q17. Instructor uses examples and explanations to clarify material.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	0	6	8	14	5	5	.49	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	2	10	12	5	5	.37	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q18. Instructor demonstrates knowledge of the subject matter.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	0	2	12	14	5	5	.35	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	2	10	12	5	5	.37	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q19. This instructor requires me to be an active participant in class.										
Timothy Johnson	0	1	0	4	9	14	5	5	.82	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	4	10	14	5	5	.45	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	2	10	12	5	5	.37	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q20. Instructor promotes an open atmosphere in discussions, critiques, etc.										
Timothy Johnson	0	1	0	7	6	14	4	4	.80	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	5	9	14	5	5	.48	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q21. The instructor was available (during office hours, by e-mail/chat, or by appointment) for consultation with students.										
Timothy Johnson	0	1	1	4	8	14	5	5	.90	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	3	10	13	5	5	.42	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	5	9	14	5	5	.48	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q22. The instructor shows respect for students.										
Timothy Johnson	1	0	1	5	7	14	4.5	5	1.08	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	1	1	12	14	5	5	.56	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	3	11	14	5	5	.41	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q23. Instructor follows a course document, outline, or schedule.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	0	6	8	14	5	5	.49	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	1	3	10	14	5	5	.61	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	4	9	13	5	5	.46	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q24. This instructor explains material well through verbal communication.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	1	6	7	14	4.5	5	.62	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	4	9	13	5	5	.46	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q25. This instructor explains material well through written documents and/or graphic presentation, in person or online.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	1	7	6	14	4	4	.61	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	2	10	12	5	5	.37	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q26. The instructor uses language that is clear and easy to understand.										
Timothy Johnson	0	0	1	3	10	14	5	5	.61	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	0	1	13	14	5	5	.26	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	3	11	14	5	5	.41	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Instructor Questions	Faculty Results									
	Responses					Individual				
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q27. The instructor displays appropriate enthusiasm, eye contact, and gestures most of the time.										
Timothy Johnson	1	0	0	4	8	13	5	5	1.08	
Stanley Kozlowski	0	0	1	1	12	14	5	5	.56	
Danny McLerran	0	0	0	4	10	14	5	5	.45	

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

Question:	What did you think were the best things about this course?
Response Rate:	85.71% (12 of 14)

1	they were all great	
2	the instructures and the bond with everyone	
3	skills days	
4	The way the instructors interact with the students and give us the best info.	
5	The hands on skills and instructor experience in the matter.	
6	The fun times, the Burns	
7	The experience of the instructors in the fire service allows for students to be taught through book material and real world experience.	
8	The different instructors all had different teaching styles but brought all their different experiences and made it an amazing course	
9	The application of the knowledge that we learned.	
10	The active participation and the need to be able to push myself to my limits.	
11	That the course was condensed, and that most of my classmates were attending for the same goals.	
12	Hands on skills and applications.	

Question: What were the course's weaknesses and areas in need of improvement?		
Response Rate: 85.71% (12 of 14)		
1	too much inclass time and nit enough hands on	
2	the instructors would teach us one thing then the book would say diffrent but then we would put what the instructor said in on the test and get it wrong i think the instructor knows better but for the test i think they should only say what the answer is on the test	
3	none	
4	Variability of the material that was taught by different instructors. Some instructors taught a skill a certain way while others disagreed with the original way and wanted it done a separate way.	
5	The organization and flow of information learned.	
6	The exams do not properly reflect the material covered in the textbook resulting in a few questions and answers that directly conflict with the material in the book.	
7	Not sure	
8	None, it's an awesome program	
9	Never let baby Faber near a student ever again, the online quiz set up. I feel that it should be done first think in the morning the day after the lecture	
10	More PT can only help.	
11	Lack of time management, and some instructors seeming to be present for only ****s and giggles. * Inappropriate words were found and removed from this response.	
12	I personally feel we should've included more cleaning and station life simulation.	

Question: Positive aspects of the facilities (classroom arrangements, computer hardware/software/support, etc.):		
Response Rate: 85.71% (12 of 14)		
1	there all good	

2	the unity of all of coming together	
3	no opinion	
4	all that is great	
5	Well arranged classrooms.	
6	The PSC building is clean, and organized well	
7	No complaints.	
8	Most of everything	
9	Cleanliness and access to all material needed whether electronic or otherwise.	
10	Assignments were easy to locate.	
11	All of the different skills we got to learn, hands on	
12	Access to a variety of training fields with various training field equipment.	




Question:	Negative aspects of the facilities (classroom arrangements, computer hardware/software/support, etc.):
Response Rate:	85.71% (12 of 14)

1	slideshows	
2	none	
3	none	
4	honestly nothing is that bad	
5	The technological equipment (computers and presentation equipment) needs to be updated.	
6	The space at the public service center is limiting and hard to perform skills at COM.	
7	Power points are not my preferred method of learning.	
8	Nothing really	
9	None	
10	No showers	
11	Immaturity of some of the cadets.	
12	Baby Fabor	

Question:	Please add any additional comments you may have about this course:
Response Rate:	42.86% (6 of 14)

1	n/a	
2	n/a	



3	Would recommend to future cadets.	
4	Overall this course was thoroughly put together and provided me with enough classroom knowledge combined with hands on skills to feel confident in my abilities to perform this job as a career.	
5	N/A	
6	Excellent course. Felt burned out at a couple of points but kept moving forward.	